[Mr. M. P. Joseph.]

Year.	Revenue.		
	Rs.	Chs.	C.
1112 ...	10,59,249	18	5
1113 ...	12,68,404	19	15
1st half of 1113 ...	5,15,419	22	5
Do. 1114 ...	5,44,100	19	2

Amount spent for irrigation purposes.

31. *MR. VARKALA K. MADHAVAN (*Chirayinkil* cum *Nedumangad*): Will the Government be pleased to state the amount spent for irrigation purposes in Chirayinkil and Nedumangad taluks respectively during 1113?

MR. S. SESHA AIYAR (*Deputy Chief Engineer*):

	Rs.
Nedumangad taluk ...	10,692
Chirayinkil taluk ...	1,530

MR. VARKALA K. MADHAVAN: May I know the amount allotted for irrigation in my constituency during 1113?

MR. S. SESHA AIYAR: about Rs. 17,000.

Roads in the Vaikom taluk.

32. *MR. K. R. NARAYANAN: Will the Government be pleased to state the amount spent during the years 1112, 1113 and 1114 on the construction of new roads and for the maintenance of existing ones in the Vaikom taluk?

MR. S. SESHA AIYAR: Rs. 8,734, Rs. 9,351 and Rs. 8,353 were spent during the years 1112, 1113 and 1114 respectively for the maintenance of the existing roads. No expenditure has been incurred for the construction of new roads.

Division Inspectors in the Education Department.

33. * MR. K. P. KOCHUKORA THARAKAN: Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether any petition or petitions by any one have been sent to the Government or the Director of Public Instruction alleging that the official age of any one of the Division Inspectors in the Education Department is false;

(*b*) if so, the date on which such petitions reached the authorities;

(*c*) if such complaints have been received;

(i) whether any enquiry was conducted on them;

(ii) if so, whether the age of that Division Inspector, as found in the school he had studied, and his official age are the same;

(iii) if not, what the difference between the above mentioned ages is and which is the higher one; and

(*d*) if petitions have been received as aforesaid, the name of the person concerned and his present station?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

MR. A. GOPALA MENON: (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) 28-8-1938.

(*c*) (i) Yes.

(ii) and (iii) It is reported that the facts alleged in the petition do not relate to the officer named in it.

(*d*) Mr. N. Venkiteswara Iyer, Muvattupuzha.

Licences issued to the holders of guns.

34. *MR. K. P. KOCHUKORA THARAKAN : Will the Government be pleased to state :

(*a*) whether it is compulsory that licences issued to the holders of guns in Travancore should be produced before the nearest Second Class Magistrates for being initialled by them ;

(*b*) whether it is usual to prosecute those who fail to do so ;

(*c*) how many of such persons in the Kunnatnad taluk, who failed to produce their licences as aforesaid, were prosecuted in the years 1112 and 1113 ; and how many of such cases were brought to conviction ;

(*d*) whether prosecutions have been started against those who have produced their licences as aforesaid and, if so, how many of such persons who have produced their licences for initialling have been prosecuted and the reasons for such prosecutions ;

(*e*) if those persons who have produced their licences and got them initialled have been prosecuted, the person who is responsible for the loss thereby incurred by the former ; and

(*f*) if the licensees who produced their licences for initialling were prosecuted and thereby put to hardships and losses, whether the Government have taken any action against the officer responsible for that ; if so, the action so taken and, if not, the reason therefor ?

MR. M. K. NILAKANTA AIYAR : (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) 1112—20 prosecuted, 5 convicted.
1113—50 prosecuted, 37 convicted.

(*d*) Yes. Two persons were prosecuted at Kunnatnad, but acquitted. The prosecution was due to an error in verifying the registers.

(*e*) It does not appear that the parties sustained any loss.

(*f*) No action was taken as the prosecution was the result of a *bonafide* error.

MR. K. P. KOCHUKORA THARAKAN : രണ്ടുപേർ കേസിൽ പ്രതികളായിരുന്നു എങ്കിലും അവരെ അക്യുറേറ് ചെയ്തതുകൊണ്ട് കേസു നടത്തുന്നതിനു വലിയ ചിലവുകളൊന്നും ഉണ്ടാകാനിടയില്ലെന്നു മറുപടിയിൽ പറഞ്ഞുകാണുന്നു. എന്നാൽ കേസിൽ പ്രതികളായിവന്ന സ്ഥിതിക്ക് (അവരിൽ ഒരാൾ ഞാൻതന്നെയാണ്) വക്കാലത്തുകൊടുത്തു വാദിച്ചില്ലെങ്കിൽ നിർദ്ദോഷിയാണെന്ന് തെളിയുന്നതെങ്ങിനെ?

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly